Easterling, Deborah

277188

From:

Easterling, Deborah

Sent:

Monday, July 16, 2018 10:06 AM

To:

Subject:

RE: VC Sumer Plant; I want my money back; I want no more future payments for

Summer Plant.

Dear Mr. Hajny,

This is to acknowledge receipt of your comments, dated July 14, 2018, regarding Docket No. 2017-305-E.

Your additional Comments will be placed in the Docket listed below and on the Commission's Website at www.psc.sc.gov.

 <u>Docket No. 2017-305-E</u> – Request of the Office of Regulatory Staff for Rate Relief to South Carolina Electric and Gas Company's Rates Pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. § 58-27-920

If we may be of further assistance to you, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

Deborah Easterling
Executive Assistant
Public Service Commission of South Carolina
803-896-5133
Sign up for Meeting Agenda Alerts: Text <u>PSCAGENDAS</u> to 39492



F	r	0	m	•

____ahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, July 14, 2018 3:32 PM

To: PSC_Contact <Contact@psc.sc.gov>; scanacontactus@scana.com

Cc: 'Sandy Senn' <SandySenn@scsenate.gov>; 'Peter McCoy' <PeterMcCoy@schouse.gov>

Subject: VC Sumer Plant; I want my money back; I want no more future payments for Summer Plant.

Greetings,

A principle of utility rate design is that charges should be for expenses that are used, useful and prudent. The cancelled V C Summer Nuclear Plant is neither used nor useful. And it may be argued that it was not prudent for SCANA / SC&G to have taken on the project.

- I want all my money back that I have already paid into the cancelled V C Summer Nuclear Plant. By my calculations I have paid in about USD 3,500.00. I want all that money back.
 - The USD 1,000.00 offered by Dominion Energy is far less that the approximately USD 3,500.00 I have paid in so far.
- I do not want to pay anything into the future for the cancelled V C Summer Nuclear Plant.
 - The 7 % roll back in rates offered by Dominion Energy is far less that what I understand to be the full amount of 18% rollback in rates.

Furthermore, the Base Load Review Act of the Legislature apparently bypassed the State's utility regulator and regulatory rate making procedures. Hence, it may be argued that the Base Load Review Act is illegal, particularly the portion of the Act that obligates the rate payers to pay for projects even if the project fails.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.	
Regards,	
Michael Hajny	
Charleston SC 29/12	

Charleston, SC 29412
US land ling - 2775-2200 The second s

Email (primary): ______Email (alternate): |

Email (alternate):